

Please reply to:

Contact: Chris Curtis
Service: Committee Services
Direct line: 01784 446240
E-mail: c.curtis@spelthorne.gov.uk
Date: 20 May 2019

Notice of meeting

Planning Committee

Date: Wednesday, 29 May 2019

Time: **Call Over Meeting** - 6.45 pm

The Call Over meeting will deal with administrative matters for the Planning Committee meeting. Please see guidance note on reverse

Committee meeting – Immediately upon the conclusion of the Call Over Meeting

Place: Council Chamber, Council Offices, Knowle Green, Staines-upon-Thames

To the members of the Planning Committee
(Membership is subject to confirmation at the Annual Council Meeting on 21 May 2019)

Councillors:

R.A. Smith-Ainsley
R. Chandler
S.M. Doran
R.W. Sider BEM
S. Buttar

S.A. Dunn
M. Gibson
H. Harvey
T. Lagden
J. McIlroy

A.J. Mitchell
L. Nichols
R.J. Noble
V. Siva
B.B. Spoor

Spelthorne Borough Council, Council Offices, Knowle Green

Staines-upon-Thames TW18 1XB

www.spelthorne.gov.uk customer.services@spelthorne.gov.uk Telephone 01784 451499

Call Over Meeting

Guidance Note

The Council will organise a meeting immediately prior to the Planning Committee meeting (a "Call Over") which will deal with the following administrative matters for the Committee:

- Ward councillor speaking
- Public speakers
- Declarations of interests
- Late information
- Withdrawals
- Changes of condition
- any other procedural issues which in the opinion of the Chairman ought to be dealt with in advance of the meeting.

The Call-Over will be organised by Officers who will be present. Unless there are exceptional circumstances, the meeting will be held in the same room planned for the Committee. The Chairman of the Planning Committee will preside at the Call-Over. The Call-Over will take place in public and Officers will advise the public of the proceedings at the meeting. Public speaking at the Call-Over either in answer to the Chairman's questions or otherwise will be at the sole discretion of the Chairman and his ruling on all administrative matters for the Committee will be final.

Councillors should not seek to discuss the merits of a planning application or any other material aspect of an application during the Call-Over.

Planning Committee meeting

Start times of agenda items

It is impossible to predict the start and finish time of any particular item on the agenda. It may happen on occasion that the Chairman will use his discretion to re-arrange the running order of the agenda, depending on the level of public interest on an item or the amount of public speaking that may need to take place. This may mean that someone arranging to arrive later in order to only hear an item towards the middle or the end of the agenda, may miss that item altogether because it has been "brought forward" by the Chairman, or because the preceding items have been dealt with more speedily than anticipated. Therefore, if you are anxious to make certain that you hear any particular item being debated by the Planning Committee, it is recommended that you arrange to attend from the start of the meeting.

Background Papers

For the purposes of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the following documents are to be regarded as standard background papers in relation to all items:

- Letters of representation from third parties
- Consultation replies from outside bodies
- Letters or statements from or on behalf of the applicant

AGENDA

Page nos.

- 1. Apologies**
To receive any apologies for non-attendance.
- 2. Minutes** **To Follow**
To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 21 May 2019 (to follow).
- 3. Disclosures of Interest**
To receive any disclosures of interest from councillors under the Councillors' Code of Conduct, or contact with applicants/objectors under the Planning Code.

Planning Applications and other Development Control matters
To consider and determine the planning applications and other development control matters detailed in the reports listed below.
- 4. Application No 18/01259/FUL - The Old Police Station, 69 Staines Road East, Sunbury on Thames, TW16 5AA** **5 - 38**
Sunbury East

Planning application seeking approval for the conversion, extension and alterations to the existing old Police Station building to provide 4 flats, together with the erection of a new 2 storey building to provide an additional 4 flats following the demolition of the existing outbuildings. The proposal includes car parking, landscaping, access and associated works.

Officer recommendation: to approve the application.
- 5. Application 19/00325/HOU - 2 Bush Road, Shepperton, TW17 0HX** **39 - 56**
Laleham and Shepperton Green

This application is for the erection of a single storey front extension and two storey side and rear extension to the property incorporating a garage.

Officer recommendation: to approve the application
- 6. Application No. 19/00444/ADV - Charlton Lane Eco Park, Charlton Lane, Shepperton, TW17 8QA** **57 - 66**
Halliford and Sunbury West


The proposal is for the retention of a large free standing display sign at

the entrance to the Eco Park site on Charlton Lane, Shepperton.

Officer recommendation: to approve the proposal

7. Urgent Items

To consider any items which the Chairman considers as urgent.



18/01259/FUL - Former Police Station, 69 Staines Road East, Sunbury on Thames.
Scale: 1:1,250

© Crown copyright and database rights 2018 Ordnance Survey 100024284.



This page is intentionally left blank

Planning Committee

29 May 2019



Application No.	18/01259/FUL		
Site Address	The Old Police Station, 69 Staines Road East, Sunbury on Thames TW16 5AA		
Applicant	Lacaze Projects Ltd		
Proposal	Erection of first floor rear extension and conversion of existing building (former Police Station) into 4 no. two bedroom flats and erection of a detached two storey building to provide 4 flats, comprising 2 no. two bedroom flats and 2 no. one bedroom flats together with associated parking, amenity space, cycle and refuge storage following demolition of existing garages/outbuildings..		
Case Officer	Matthew Clapham		
Ward	Sunbury East		
Called in	N/A		
Application Dates	Valid: 02/10/2018	Expiry: 27/11/2018	Target: over 8 weeks Extension of Time Agreed.
Executive Summary	<p>This planning application seeks the conversion, extension and alterations of the existing old police station building which is locally listed to provide 4 flats, together with the erection of a new 2 storey building to provide an additional 4 flats, following the demolition of the existing outbuildings. In addition the proposal would provide car parking, landscaping, access and associated works.</p> <p>The proposal is considered to be a sustainable form of development, retaining and reusing an existing locally listed building for a residential use, together with the provision of a new building in a design which pays due regard to the locally listed building and would make a positive contribution to the street scene in this location. Consequently, the proposal is acceptable on design grounds.</p> <p>It would be an efficient use of land providing a good standard of housing, with sufficient amenity space provision. It is considered to be acceptable in terms of parking and highway issues and would have an acceptable relationship with adjoining properties and would not have a material detrimental impact upon their residential amenity.</p>		
Recommended Decision	This planning application is recommended for approval.		

This application was deferred at the Planning Committee on the 3rd April 2019 to enable a site visit to take place between the County Highway Authority and the Planning Committee members and to enable the Committee members to discuss their concerns with the County Highway Authority.

This site visit took place on the 16th April and was attended by members of the Planning Committee, including the Chairman and the Portfolio Holder, Council Officers from Planning, the Operations Manager from Neighbourhood Services, the County Highways Engineer. Two ward councillors were also present. During the site visit, some local residents from Priory Close also attended.

Various highway matters were discussed and as a result a revised plan has been submitted showing yellow line parking restrictions to the front of the site, both on Highways land and also on the privately owned (by the applicant) Priory Close. In addition, automatic access gates with additional bollards are also proposed to the entrance to Priory Close.

Local Residents have been consulted on these proposals. The County Highways Engineer has also been re-consulted. The Planning Committee will be updated on these matters at the meeting. The Group Head of Neighbourhood Services is satisfied that the amended access arrangements will overcome her concerns in terms of access for both the refuse vehicles and crew.

MAIN REPORT

1. Development Plan

1.1 The following policies in the Council's Core Strategy and Policies DPD 2009 are considered relevant to this proposal:

- SP2 (Housing Provision)
- HO1 (Providing for New Housing Development)
- HO4 (Housing Size and Type)
- HO5 (Housing Density)
- SP6 (Maintaining and Improving the Environment)
- EN1 (Design of New Development)
- EN3 (Air Quality)
- EN5 (Buildings of Architectural and Historic Interest))
- EN15 (Development on Land Affected by Contamination)
- EM1 (Employment Development)
- SP7 (Climate Change and Transport)
- CC1 (Renewable Energy, Energy Conservation and Sustainable Construction)
- CC2 (Sustainable Travel)

➤ CC3 (Parking Provision)

1.2 Also relevant are the following Supplementary Planning Documents/Guidance:

- SPD on Design of Residential Extensions and New Residential Development
- SPG on Parking Standards

1.3 The advice contained within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2019 is also relevant.

2. Relevant Planning History

95/00004/GOV Extension and change of use of garage to Form a Gymnasium	No objections 12.06.1995
---	-----------------------------

3. Description of Current Proposal

- 3.1 The site comprises an area of 0.0823ha and is located to the north/east of Staines Road East in Sunbury on Thames. The Old Police Station building itself is a locally listed building. On the opposite side of Staines Road East are residential dwellings. To the east, are flats forming Priory Walk, and to the west of the site are semi-detached two storey dwellings forming Priory Close, which are believed to be former Police houses. The site is currently vacant with a number of large outbuildings adjacent to the boundary with the flats in Priory Walk and to the rear. The site is accessed via Priory Close, a private road accessed from Staines Road East.
- 3.2 The site is located within the urban area, approximately 600m from the Sunbury Cross shopping area.
- 3.3 Although the site has an established use as a Police Station and is located close to a commercial builder's yard, there are residential properties in close proximity, including the block of flats to the east and semi-detached houses to the west in Priory Close as outlined in paragraph 3.1 above.
- 3.4 The common height of buildings in this location is two storey including the houses either side, although the Old Police Station building itself is approximately 0.5m taller. However, the boundary wall to the site, particularly to the east onto Priory Walk, is a substantial structure of approximately 3.5m in height, rising to 5.3m to the side elevation of the existing building with 2m high boundary to the frontage on Priory Close.
- 3.5 The proposal is for the conversion of the existing old Police Station building to 4 flats with various alterations including a first floor side extension. In addition, it involves the erection of a new 2 storey block to the rear of the site following

the demolition of the existing outbuildings to provide an additional 4 flats, together with the proposed parking, landscaping and access. The proposed new block has been designed to be in character with the design of the existing locally listed building on the site. It would be constructed of bricks with a tiled pitched roof with similar window treatments on the elevations to provide symmetry.

- 3.6 The existing access to Priory Close is retained, although relocated approximately 3m to the north. Parking for 9 cars would be provided to the centre of the site, with 3 further spaces for use by residents in Priory Close to the rear of the plot. Refuse storage facilities would be provided adjacent to the highway, and landscaping would be used to soften the car parking areas and buildings, and provide amenity space for the proposed residents.
- 3.7 The proposed site layout and elevation plans are provided as an Appendix.

4 Consultations

- 4.1 The following table shows those bodies consulted and their response.

Consultee	Comment
County Highway Authority	No objection subject to conditions
Conservation and Listed Buildings Officer	No objection
Environmental Health (Contaminated land)	No objection subject to conditions
Environmental Health (construction/dust)	No objection subject to conditions
Group Head of Neighbourhood Services	No objection subject to conditions

5. Public Consultation

- 5.1 Neighbouring properties were notified of the planning application and the application was advertised in the local press. 16 Letters have been received, including a response from SCAN making comments over the Equalities Act and lift provision. This is a matter that will be considered by Building Control Regulations. Following re-notification of neighbours, 1 additional letter has been received raising similar concerns to those previously raised.
- 5.2 Reasons for objecting include:-
- presence of bats in the Old Police Station
 - noise and disturbance
 - emergency access / highway safety
 - inadequate parking

- pollution
- refuse collection issues
- loss of sunlight / daylight
- overlooking and loss of privacy
- increase traffic
- highway safety issues
- overbearing
- overdevelopment
- loss of a street light
- sewers
- flooding

5.3 The neighbouring properties have been notified of the amended plans and any comments received will be reported to the Planning Committee.

6. Planning Issues

- Principle of the development
- Housing density
- Reuse of locally listed building
- Design and appearance.
- Residential amenity
- Highway issues
- Parking provision
- Dwelling mix

7. Planning Considerations

Need for housing

7.1 In terms of the principle of housing development regard must be had to paragraphs 59-61 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which state the following:-

“Para 59. To support the Government’s objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes, it is important that a sufficient amount and variety of land can come forward where it is needed, that the needs of groups with specific housing requirements are addressed and that land with permission is developed without unnecessary delay.

Para 60. To determine the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment, conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance – unless exceptional circumstances justify an alternative approach which also reflects current and future demographic trends and market signals. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.

Para 61. Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable

housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, travellers, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes).”

- 7.2 When considering planning applications for housing local planning authorities should have regard to the government’s requirement that they boost significantly the supply of housing, and meet the full objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing in their housing area so far as is consistent policies set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2019.
- 7.3 Para 11 of the NPPF stresses the presumption in favour of sustainable development and that proposals which accord with a development plan should be approved without delay noting that:
“...Where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the application are out-of-date, granting permission unless:
(i) *the application of policies in this Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed; or*
(ii) *any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole.”*
- 7.4 The Council has embarked on a review of its Local Plan and accepts that the housing target in its Core Strategy and Policies DPD-Feb 2009 of 166 dwellings per annum is significantly short of its latest objectively assessed need of 552-757 dwellings per annum (Para 10.42 – Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) – Runnymede and Spelthorne – Nov 2015). On 20th February 2019, the government updated its guidance in respect of Housing and Economic needs assessment which included proposals for a standard method for calculating local authorities’ housing need. A figure of 590 dwellings per annum for Spelthorne was proposed by the application of this new approach. This figure of 590 based on the 2014 household formation projections has also been suggested by the Government in its latest consultation (Oct – Dec 2018). Following recent analysis, the figure has been revised to 603. Despite recent uncertainties, the standard methodology provides the most recent calculation of local housing need in the Borough and is consistent with the range of need identified by the Council in their SHMA. It is therefore appropriate for the Council to use the 603 dwellings per annum figure as their local housing need figure that comprises the basis for calculating the five-year supply of deliverable sites.
- 7.5 The sites identified in the SLAA as being deliverable within the first five years have been used as the basis for a revised 5-year housing land supply figure. Whilst this has shown that notionally we have identified sufficient sites to demonstrate that we have a five year supply of housing sites we have recently been advised that we need to apply an additional 20% buffer rather than the previously used 5%. This is because Government guidance (NPPF para 74) requires the application of a 20% buffer “where there has been significant under delivery of housing over the previous three years”. It therefore has no choice now but to apply the additional buffer for the five year period from 1

April 2019 to 31 March 2024. A 20% buffer applied to 603 results in a figure of 724 dwellings per annum which is our current figures. The effect of this increased requirement is that the identified sites only represent a 4.4 year supply and accordingly the Council cannot at present demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable housing sites.

- 7.6 In using the new objectively assessed need figure of 724 as the starting point for the calculation of a five year supply it must be borne in mind that this does not represent a target as it is based on unconstrained need. Through the Local Plan review, the Borough's housing supply will be assessed in light of the Borough's constraints, which will be used to consider options for meeting need. The Council has now published its Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) which identifies potential sites for future housing development over the plan period.
- 7.7 As a result, current decisions on planning applications for housing development need to be based on the "tilted balance" approach as set out in paragraph 11 of the NPPF (2019) which requires that planning permission should be granted unless "any adverse impacts of so doing would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole"
- 7.8 Having regard to the proposed development and taking into account the presumption in favour of sustainable development which applies to Spelthorne together with adopted policy HO1 which encourages new housing development, it is considered that particular weight should be given to the merits of this development in this sustainable location. It should also be noted that each planning application must be assessed in its own right and permission cannot be refused on the basis that other schemes for housing have been approved nearby.

Principle of the development

- 7.9 As noted above, Policy HO1 Core Strategy Policies DPD 2009 (CS & P DPD) of the Local Plan is concerned with new housing development in the Borough. HO1 (c) encourages housing development on all sustainable sites, taking into account policy objectives and HO1 (g) states that this should be done by:

"Ensuring effective use is made of urban land for housing by applying Policy HO5 on density of development and opposing proposals that would impede development of suitable sites for housing."

- 7.10 As referred to above, the NPPF emphasises the government's overall housing objective to significantly boost the supply of housing.
- 7.11 The site is within the urban area and is a brownfield site in an accessible and sustainable location, close to local facilities, the primary highway network and public transport links. The creation of residential units at the site is therefore considered to be acceptable in principle.

Housing density

- 7.12 Policy HO5 of the CS & P DPD sets out density ranges for particular context but prefaces this at paragraph 6.25 by stating:

“Making efficient use of potential housing land is an important aspect in ensuring housing delivery. Higher densities mean more units can be provided on housing land but a balance needs to be struck to ensure the character of areas is not damaged by over-development.”

- 7.13 Policy HO5 indicates that in the existing residential areas, new development should generally be in the region of 35 to 55 dwellings per hectare. Within the Ashford, Shepperton and Sunbury Cross centres, it recognises that this can be increased to 40 to 75 dwellings per hectare. While the site is outside of the designated Sunbury Cross town centre, regard should be given to this figure when assessing density levels in accessible locations to address the Government’s housing objectives.
- 7.14 The proposal is for a total of 8 units and would be on a site of some 0.0832 ha, equating to 96 dwellings per hectare (dph). Whilst the proposed density is above that set out in Policy HO5, the policy states that, *‘Higher density developments may be acceptable where it is demonstrated that the development complies with Policy EN1 on design particularly in terms of its compatibility with the character of the area and is in a location that is accessible by non car based modes of travel.’* It is important to note that any mathematical density figure is in part a product of the mix of units proposed. In this case all 8 units are 1 bed or 2 beds, in accordance with the Borough’s housing need, and accordingly it is possible to accommodate many more small units within a given floor space and an acceptable numerical density can be much higher. It is also noted that the adjoining flats (Priory Walk) have a density of 100dph. In this particular case, it is considered that the proposed density is acceptable in this particular sustainable location.

Re-use of Locally Listed Building

- 7.15 The main building on the site was constructed in 1890 as a Police Station. The Police Station closed approximately 10 years ago and the premises has been derelict since then. The property is a locally listed building with many of the original features remaining. It is listed in the Spelthorne Local List Feb 2004 (ref LL/116), which notes that the property is, *‘...Hipped slate roof with bracketed eaves and deep overhang. Yellow Flemish bond brick with red brick window arches, painted stucco strings at first floor sill level and at the springing of ground floor window arches. Painted keystones. False round headed sash windows generally (sliding behind brick arches), with windows grouped in pairs. Stone door case apparently for dual entrances, but right hand side false (arched for window). Three pilasters supporting shallow portico with stone frieze supported on three consoles – the words “Metropolitan Police” are in bold relief across this frieze. A prominent and well proportioned municipal building – a competent example of the period and in near original condition. Lions’ mask guttering is a common feature of Victorian metropolitan Police Stations, similar to the guttering on the former Staines Police Station (LL/080).*

- 7.16 Policy EN5 of the CS & P DPD notes that the Council will seek to preserve its architectural and historic heritage. The foreword in paragraph 10.27 states that:-

'Buildings on the local list do not have the same legal protection over demolition, alterations and minor extensions. However, the Council will oppose redevelopment proposals that would involve their loss, or unsympathetic proposals that would damage their character and setting.'

- 7.17 The Councils Conservation Officer was consulted and raises no objection to the proposal. He notes that. *'...The locally listed Police Station is currently in a dilapidated condition and urgently requires a new use. These proposals retain and refurbish much of the architectural detail of the building and perpetuate the architectural language in the design of the new blocks. I consider the essential character of the LL building will be preserved. I have no adverse comments.'*

- 7.18 As such the re-use of the existing locally listed building is considered to be a significant benefit of the scheme and ensures that this heritage asset is retained. As such the re-use of the locally listed building should be afforded significant weight and the proposal is considered to accord with Policy EN5.

Design and appearance

- 7.19 Policy EN1a of the CS & P DPD states that *"the Council will require a high standard in the design and layout of new development. Proposals for new development should demonstrate that they will: create buildings and places that are attractive with their own distinct identity; they should respect and make a positive contribution to the street scene and the character of the area in which they are situated, paying due regard to the scale, height, proportions, building lines, layout, materials and other characteristics of adjoining buildings and land."*
- 7.20 The existing locally listed building would be retained and modified in order to accommodate 4 residential units, including the erection of a first floor extension above the eastern wing of the building. Externally the building would remain very much like it is now, with the retention of all the window openings, which form part of the main character of the building. The proposal is considered to pay due regard to the character of the host building and would enable the conversion of the locally listed building to a residential use. As such, these changes to the building would have an acceptable impact on the character.
- 7.21 The proposed new building would replace the existing outbuildings located at the rear of the site, currently located across the eastern side of the site. The main part of the building would have a pitched roof with a maximum height of 7.5m, with the wing being reduced in height to 6m. These are below the height of the existing Police Station building, which has a maximum height of 8.5m. The proposed building would extend across most of the width of the plot. The wing element would also have a lower eaves height compared to the main structure. It would be constructed in materials to match the existing

building and the design is considered to pay due regard to the design and character of the existing locally listed Police Station building.

- 7.22 Landscaping would be provided around the buildings which would help to provide an attractive setting to the well-designed buildings and to provide a good standard of amenity for future occupants. This would help to complement the proposed built form and visual amenities of the area. The access to the site would remain as existing and the proposal would provide 9 parking spaces, with 3 spaces provided for residents of Priory Close as part of a legal agreement, which is not a planning matter. The scheme is considered to provide an attractive form of development, which would have its own sense of place and provide an attractive place to live, adding to the visual amenity of the area, while retaining a heritage asset. As such the proposed development is considered to be acceptable in design terms, and to make a positive impact on the street scene, Staines Road East and the surrounding area, conforming to policy EN1.

Impact on neighbouring residential properties

- 7.23 Policy EN1b of the CS & P DPD states that:

“New development should achieve a satisfactory relationship to adjoining properties avoiding significant harmful impact in terms of loss of privacy, daylight or sunlight, or overbearing effect due to bulk and proximity or outlook.”

- 7.24 The Council’s Supplementary Planning Document on the Design of Residential Extensions and New Residential Development 2011 (SPD) provides further details for the policies of the CS & P DPD.

- 7.25 The SPD in para 3.6 acknowledges that ‘most *developments will have some impact on neighbours, the aim should be to ensure that the amenity of adjoining occupiers is not significantly harmed.*’ It sets out minimum separation distances for development to ensure that proposals do not create an unacceptable loss of light, be overbearing or cause loss of privacy or outlook. These are set as a minimum for 2 storey development of 10.5m for back to boundary distance, and 21m for back to back development. There is also a minimum distance for back to flank elevations of 13.5m for 2 storey developments.

- 7.26 Whilst the building fronts Staines Road East, the closest residential properties are located to the east (Priory Walk - flats) and west (Priory Close - semi-detached dwellings which face towards the application site). The proposed extension to the locally listed building would be approximately 9m away from the side of the flats in Priory Walk, which have side facing windows. It is noted that the boundary between the two plots, adjacent to the existing Police Station is already substantial, with a wall of 5.3m forming the boundary. The additional storey to the rear of the Police Station would have a pitched roof, sloping away from the boundary with an eaves height of 5.8m. There is also a dense screen of trees along most of the boundary, within Priory Walk. No first floor rear or side facing windows to the eastern side are proposed. The flank elevation facing Priory Close remains unchanged, albeit with habitable rooms

rather than offices etc. however these are 11m away from the adjoining properties to the west. Therefore it is considered that the proposed alterations to the Locally Listed Building would have an acceptable relationship with the existing dwellings and would not cause significant overlooking, loss of privacy or overbearing/overshadowing or loss of light impacts and as such the proposal will have an acceptable impact on the amenity of neighbouring residential properties and accords with the SPD and Policy EN1.

7.27 The new building to the rear is located some distance away from the front of the properties in Priory Close, being at least 11m away. This dimension is taken from the two storey elements of the proposed building and the existing properties in Priory Close. The single storey bin store to the side of the proposed building and a single storey porch to the front of no. 2 Priory Close does reduce this to approximately 6m. Furthermore, the building is located in close proximity to the flats in Priory Walk. Whilst no habitable room windows are proposed on the first floor eastern elevation, minimising any loss of privacy, consideration is required regarding loss of light and overbearing impact to the adjoining flats. There are two windows serving ground floor flats on the side/rear projection, which are only approximately 2.5 and 3m away from the boundary and the proposed building. However there is an existing 3.6m high wall on the boundary at this point, while the eaves height of the proposed building would be 4m, 0.4m higher than the existing boundary wall. Furthermore, the windows to the flats provide secondary glazing to living rooms and primary glazing to 'galley' style kitchens.

7.28 The applicant has submitted a BRE daylight / sunlight assessment which demonstrates that the examined rooms comply with the BRE standards for daylight and sunlight and the development would have no significant impact on daylight at the neighbouring property.. Four windows would be below the 27% Visual Sky Component figure considered good practice, however these windows are currently below this figure and are not made by worse as result of the proposal. Five of the windows examined would also be below the Annual Probable Sunlit Hours calculation, However, these are currently below figure and the proposal would not be demonstrably worse than the existing situation. Whilst BR 209 gives numerical guidelines for assessing daylight and sunlight levels, it is important to bear in mind that the numerical guidelines should be interpreted flexibly. BR 209 Paragraph 1.6 states:

"The guide (BR 209) is intended for building designers and their clients, consultants and planning officials. The advice given here is not mandatory and the guide should not be taken as an instrument of planning policy; its aim is to help rather than constrain the designer. Although it gives numerical guidelines, these should be interpreted flexibly since natural lighting is only one of many factors in site layout design."

7.29 To the rear is a patio door to a ground floor flat and this will have the 45 degree angle infringed by the proposed block of flats. However, the infringement is minimal – being 1m at a distance of 7m from the window. The patio windows would continue to have a clear outlook to the rear and eastern side. Therefore, on balance, and taking into account the wider benefits of the proposal, the harm arising from this infringement is considered to be minimal and would not result in a detrimental loss of light or outlook to this window

and any harm does not outweigh the positives of the scheme. As such, the proposed building is considered to have an acceptable relationship with the adjoining properties.

Amenity Space

- 7.30 The Council's SPD on Residential Extension and New Residential Development 2011 provides general guidance on minimum garden sizes (Table 2 and paragraph 3.30). In the case of flats it requires 35 sqm per unit for the first 5 units, 10 sqm for the next 5 units and 5 sqm per unit thereafter. On this basis some 205 sq. m would be required for the 8 flats. The flats have access to landscaped areas of the site including an area of grass to the centre of the site, to the rear of the existing Police Station building with an area of approximately 75 sq. m. This would provide a level of amenity space for use by residents of the units but is acknowledged as being below the minimum SPD standard. It should be noted that the site has landscape areas to the front and sides of the properties which would add to the visual amenities of the locality and benefit not only the future occupants but improve the street scene. The site is located a short walk away from a public green space which forms part of the new development on the former London Irish site on The Avenue. When considered in the wider planning balance, the reduced amenity area would not outweigh the benefits associated with the scheme.

Proposed dwelling sizes

- 7.31 The SPD on the Design of Residential Extensions and New Residential Development 2011 sets out minimum floorspace standards for new dwellings. These standards relate to single storey dwellings including flats, as well as to 2 and 3 storey houses. For example, the minimum standard for a 1-bedroom flat for 2 people is 50 sqm.
- 7.32 The Government has since published national minimum dwelling size standards in their "*Technical Housing Standards – nationally described space standard*" document dated March 2015. These largely reflect the London Housing Design Guide on which the Spelthorne standards are also based. The standards are arranged in a similar manner to those in the SPD and includes minimum sizes for studio flats.
- 7.33 All of the proposed 2 bed flats exceed the 61 sq. m minimum requirement and the one bed units meet the 50 sq m requirement. In addition, benefits arise from the fact that accommodation is provided within an existing locally listed building which would be retained and the scheme would provide its own sense of place with a courtyard feel. Therefore, it is considered that their standard of amenity overall is acceptable.

Highway and parking provision

- 7.34 Policy CC2 of the CS & P DPD states that:

"The Council will seek to secure more sustainable travel patterns by: ... (d) only permitting traffic generating development where it is or can be made

compatible with the transport infrastructure in the area taking into account: (i) number and nature of additional traffic movements, including servicing needs; (ii) capacity of the local transport network; (iii) cumulative impact including other proposed development; (iv) access and egress to the public highway; and (v) highway safety.

- 7.35 Policy CC3 of the CS & P DPD states that the Council will require appropriate provision to be made for off-street parking in development proposals in accordance with its maximum parking standards.
- 7.36 On 20 September 2011 the Council's Cabinet agreed a 'Position Statement' on how Policy CC3 should now be interpreted in the light of the Government's recent parking policy changes. The effect of this is that the Council will give little weight to the word 'maximum' in relation to residential development when applying Policy CC3 and its residential parking standards will generally be applied as minimum (maximum parking standards continue to be applicable in relation to commercial development).
- 7.37 The proposed parking provision for the site is 9 spaces. The Council's Parking Standards as set out in the Supplementary Planning Guidance requires 1.25 spaces per 1 bed unit and 1.5 spaces per 2 bed unit. As such the current proposal for 8 units (2 no. 1 bed and 6 no. 2 bed) would require 10.5 rounded up to 11 car parking spaces. 3 parking spaces are shown to be provided for the existing residents of Priory Close, as required by a lease agreement, which is a non-planning matter. The SPG goes on to state that a reduction in parking requirement will normally only be allowed in the following situations within the borough where public transport accessibility is generally high including frequency and quality of train and bus services, distance to public transport nodes, pedestrian and cycling routes and the range and quality of facilities supportive of residential development within a reasonable walking distance, e.g. retail, leisure, education and possibly employment. It also states that other situations which are relevant to less parking provision include units specifically designed for single person occupation and residential conversions where there are limited off street parking opportunities both of which are also relevant to this scheme. As such, the parking provisions are considered acceptable.
- 7.38 The County Highway Authority (CHA) has been consulted and while originally raising an objection, has now removed objection, subject to conditions and has noted that *'...The County Highway Authority previously objected to this proposal, for the reasons set out in the response dated 15/11/18. Since this response, the number of units proposed on site has been reduced from nine to eight. Whilst the proposed parking provision still falls below the level recommended in Spelthorne Borough Council's Parking Standards, it is likely that the level of parking associated with the proposed development can be accommodated within the site.'*

The site is located approximately 400 metres from Sunbury Rail Station, which is a comfortable walking distance. Sunbury Rail Station is served by half-hourly train services in either direction between London Waterloo and Shepperton. Well served bus stops are also available in proximity to the Rail Station, approximately 450m from the development site. In addition to the public

transport infrastructure, some further local amenities are available within walking distance of the site, particularly around the Sunbury Cross Roundabout. It is therefore considered that future residents of the proposed development would not be dependent on private motor vehicles. Notwithstanding this, it is considered likely that residents will want to own private cars in this location, given the number of local amenities that are just beyond a convenient walking distance that are otherwise not well catered for by more local facilities.

The CHA acknowledge the existing parking stress on Priory Close, and that any overspill parking on this road could exaggerate this. However, Priory Close is a private road, and therefore not under the jurisdiction of the CHA. The potential for overspill of parking from Priory Close onto the old alignment of Staines Road West (D6298), and the main Staines Road West (A308) previously made up part of SCC's objection to the proposal. It has been considered again in the context of the revised proposal, and given the reduced parking demand it is now considered unlikely that this will cause a significant or severe highway safety concern. it is unlikely that any overspill parking that may be generated by the development will result in on-street parking in a location that will compromise highway safety.'

- 7.39 The proposal for the provision of 9 car parking spaces would limit the number of vehicles accessing and leaving the site, in particular it is considered that the use by vehicles at the site would be less intense than the previous use as a Police Station. As such, it is not considered that the proposal would result in a greater impact compared to the established use and therefore would not result in a significant impact in terms of traffic generation.
- 7.40 Therefore given the above and the fact that the majority of the units are 1 bedroom and the site is located in a highly accessible location, close to local facilities, the proposed parking provision is acceptable. It should also be noted that future occupants would be aware of the parking provision and whether they have an allocated parking space or not when they choose to live here. The CHA has raised no objection to the proposed scheme on highway safety grounds or parking provision. The County Highway Authority also verbally agreed in principle to the revised access arrangements during the site visit with Committee members. As such, it is considered that the scheme is acceptable in terms of policies CC2 and CC3 on highway and parking issues, subject to any further comments regarding the amended drawings showing the revised access arrangements.

Flooding

- 7.41 Policy LO1 of the CS & P DPD states that the Council will seek to reduce flood risk and its adverse effects on people and property in Spelthorne. The site is located within Flood Zone 1, which has a low probability of flooding with a less than 1 in 1000 year chance of flooding, and no uses are precluded on flooding grounds. In terms of flood risk, given the development site is located outside of the high flood risk area and there is no risk to the future occupants of the site from flooding, with safe access and egress available.

- 7.42 The Environment Agency maps for potential surface water drainage shows that the site itself is at a low/medium risk with Priory Close being very low risk. Therefore the concerns regarding drainage and flooding are not considered to be significant enough to justify refusal.

Renewable Energy

- 7.43 Policy CC1 of the CS & P DPD states that the Council will require residential development of one or more dwellings and other development involving new building or extensions exceeding 100 sqm to include measures to provide at least 10% of the development's energy demand from on-site renewable energy sources unless it can be shown that it would seriously threaten the viability of the development. The Council's Sustainability Officer has been consulted and requested further information which will be secured by a suitable condition.

Dwelling mix

- 7.44 Policy HO4 of the CS & P DPD (Housing Size and Type) states that the Council will ensure that the size and type of housing reflects the needs of the community by requiring developments that propose four or more dwellings to include at least 80% of their total as one or two bedroom units.
- 7.45 The proposal complies with the requirements of Policy HO4 with all 8 of the units being one and two bedroomed.

Impact on Trees/Landscaping

- 7.46 There are trees located adjacent to the site within the grounds of Priory Walk. The extension to the locally listed building, closest to the trees is at first floor with no / limited ground disturbance. Therefore, it is not considered that any trees are likely to be impacted by the proposal and is not considered to affect the living conditions of the new units in particular in terms of overshadowing or loss of light impact.
- 7.47 The amenity space will also be landscaped with grass and trees. In addition landscaping will be used around car park areas to help break up hardstanding and add visual interest which will help to enhance the proposed development and is considered acceptable.

Contaminated Land

- 7.48 The Council's Pollution Control Officer has raised no objection but has requested conditions to be imposed requiring a further investigation to be carried out to refine risks and remediation measures. Subject to these conditions, the proposal is considered acceptable in accordance with Policy EN15.

Air quality

- 749 The Council's Pollution Control section was consulted on the application and has raised no objection, but has recommended conditions regarding dust control and the provision of electric car charging points.

Refuse Storage and Collection

- 7.50 The access to the site remains unchanged, with refuse storage areas located close to Priory Close, where existing collections already take place and these are also easily accessible by future residents. The County Highway Authority has raised no objection on this particular issue. The Councils Group Head for Neighbourhood Services has commented that the proposal would not allow refuse vehicles to enter and leave the site in forward gear but notes that this situation already exists for Priory Close. The location of the refuse stores is suitable subject to size and access (which is subject to a condition).and the refuse arrangements are considered to be acceptable. Accordingly, the proposed refuse storage and collection facilities are acceptable.

Financial Considerations

- 7.51 Under S155 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016, Local Planning Authorities are now required to ensure that potential financial benefits of certain development proposals are made public when a Local Planning Authority is considering whether or not to grant planning permission for planning applications which are being determined by the Council's Planning Committee. A financial benefit must be recorded regardless of whether it is material to the Local Planning Authority's decision on a planning application, but planning officers are required to indicate their opinion as to whether the benefit is material to the application or not.
- 7.52 In consideration of S155 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016, the proposal is a CIL chargeable development, but the existing floor space is currently in use and can be deducted. As such it would generate a CIL payment based on £140 per square metre of approx. £70,609 in total. This money goes toward local infrastructure and as such is a material consideration in the determination of this planning application. The proposal will also generate a New Homes Bonus and Council Tax payments which are not material considerations in the determination of this proposal.

Other matters

- 7.53 The County Highways Engineer has not raised any concerns regarding the loss of a street light. In any event, the road is private and this is not considered to be a justification for refusal.
- 7.54 A representation was received concerning the possibility of bats roosting in the garage building to the rear of the Police Station. A bat survey has been submitted which confirmed that there was no evidence of bats roosting at the site. It is the responsibility of the site owner, and any contractor undertaking works, to ensure that the appropriate Acts and Regulations are observed and

no offence is committed. An informative is recommended to ensure that appropriate consideration is taken in this respect.

Conclusion

- 7.55 The proposal would secure the redevelopment of a previously developed site, retain and reuse a locally listed building of value which should be afforded significant weight, make effective use of urban land in a sustainable location, and meet a need for housing. As noted previously the “tilted balance” approach as set out in paragraph 11 of the NPPF (2018) requires that planning permission should be granted unless “any adverse impacts of so doing would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole” There is a presumption in favour of sustainable development, and the benefits of the scheme in this sustainable location clearly outweighs any harm, as such the NPPF requires permission to be granted.
- 7.56 Therefore, the proposal to provide 8 units by building a 2 storey block of 4 units and also utilising the existing locally listed building on the site is considered to be acceptable and the application is recommended for approval.

8. Recommendation

8.1 GRANT subject to the following conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: - This condition is required by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans and drawings

PL01, PL02, PL03, PL04, PL05 (all revision B) received on 18.02.2019 and PL07 received 8.05.2019

Reason: - For the avoidance of doubt and in the interest of proper planning

3. No development above damp-proof course level shall take place until details of the materials and detailing to be used for the external surfaces of the buildings and surface material for parking areas are submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall then be constructed in accordance with the approved materials.

Reason:- To ensure that the proposed development does not prejudice the appearance of the development and the visual amenities and character of the locality, in accordance with policies SP6 and EN1 of

4. No development shall take place until:-
- (a) A comprehensive desk-top study, carried out to identify and evaluate all potential sources and impacts of land and/or groundwater contamination relevant to the site, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
 - (b) Where any such potential sources and impacts have been identified, a site investigation has been carried out to fully characterise the nature and extent of any land and/or groundwater contamination and its implications. The site investigation shall not be commenced until the extent and methodology of the site investigation have been agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.
 - (c) A written method statement for the remediation of land and/or groundwater contamination affecting the site shall be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of remediation. The method statement shall include an implementation timetable and monitoring proposals, and a remediation verification methodology.

The site shall be remediated in accordance with the approved method statement, with no deviation from the statement without the express written agreement of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:-

- (a) To protect the amenities of future residents and the environment from the effects of potentially harmful substances.

NOTE

The requirements of the above Condition must be carried out in accordance with current best practice. The applicant is therefore advised to contact Spelthorne's Pollution Control team on 01784 446251 for further advice and information before any work commences. An information sheet entitled "Land Affected by Contamination: Guidance to Help Developers Meet Planning Requirements" providing guidance can also be downloaded from Spelthorne's website at www.spelthorne.gov.uk.

In accordance with policies SP6 and EN15 of the Spelthorne Borough Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document 2009.

- 5 Prior to the first use or occupation of the development, and on completion of the agreed contamination remediation works, a validation report that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out shall be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: - To protect the amenities of future residents and the environment from the effects of potentially harmful substances.

6. Following construction of any groundwork and foundations, no construction of the development above damp-proof course level shall take place until a report is submitted to and agreed by the Local Planning Authority which includes details and drawings demonstrating how 10% of the energy requirements generated by the development as a whole will be achieved utilising renewable energy methods and showing in detail the estimated sizing of each of the contributing technologies to the overall percentage. The detailed report shall identify how renewable energy, passive energy and efficiency measures will be generated and utilised for each of the proposed buildings to meet collectively the requirement for the scheme. The agreed measures shall be implemented with the construction of the building and thereafter retained.

Reason: - To ensure that the development is sustainable and complies with Policy SP7 and CC1 of the Spelthorne Development Plan Core Strategy and Policies DPD.

7. Details of a scheme of both soft and hard landscaping works shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the first occupation of any part of the development hereby approved. The approved scheme of tree and shrub planting and other associated works shall be carried out prior to first occupation of the buildings and/or site. The planting so provided shall be maintained as approved for a period of 5 years, such maintenance to include the replacement in the current or next planting season whichever is the sooner, of any trees or shrubs that may die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased, with others of similar size and species, unless the Local Planning Authority gives written permission to any variation.

Reason: - To minimise the loss of visual amenity occasioned by the development and to enhance the proposed development.

8. Prior to the occupation of the buildings hereby permitted details including a technical specification of all proposed external lighting shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The agreed external lighting shall be implemented prior to the occupation of the buildings and shall at all times accord with the approved details.

Reason: - To safeguard the amenity of neighbouring residential properties, in the interest of security, and in the interest of wildlife.

9. That no further openings of any kind be formed in any elevations of the development hereby permitted without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:- To safeguard the amenity of neighbouring residential properties in accordance with policies SP6 and EN1 of the Spelthorne Borough Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document 2009.

10. Prior to the occupation of the development hereby permitted the first floor window on the eastern elevation of the proposed new build block shall be obscure glazed and be non-opening to a minimum height of 1.7 metres above internal floor level in accordance with details/samples of the type of glazing pattern to be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These windows shall thereafter be permanently retained as installed.

Reason:- To safeguard the amenity of neighbouring residential properties in accordance with policies SP6 and EN1 of the Spelthorne Borough Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document 2009.

11. No development shall commence until a Construction Transport Management Plan, to include details of:
- (a) parking for vehicles of site personnel, operatives and visitors
 - (b) loading and unloading of plant and materials
 - (c) storage of plant and materials
 - (d) programme of works (including measures for traffic management)
 - (e) provision of boundary hoarding behind any visibility zones
 - (f) measures to prevent the deposit of materials on the highway
 - (g) on-site turning for construction vehicles has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Only the approved details shall be implemented during the construction of the development.

Reason: The conditions above are required in order that the development should not prejudice highway safety, nor cause inconvenience to other highway users, and accord with the National Planning Policy Framework 2018 and policy CC2 of Spelthorne Borough Council's Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document February 2009.

12. The development hereby approved shall not be first occupied unless and until space has been laid out within the site in accordance with the approved plans for vehicles to be parked and for vehicles to turn so that they may enter and leave the site in forward gear. Thereafter the parking and turning areas shall be retained and maintained for their designated purposes.

Reason: - This condition is required in order that the development should not prejudice highway safety, nor cause inconvenience to other highway users, and accord with the National Planning Policy Framework 2018 and policies CC2 and CC3 of Spelthorne Borough Council's Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document February 2009.

13. The development hereby approved shall not be first occupied unless and until facilities for the secure, covered parking of bicycles have been provided in accordance with the approved plans. Thereafter the approved cycle parking facilities shall be retained and maintained to

the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority for their designated purpose.

Reason: This condition is required in recognition of Section 4 "Promoting Sustainable Transport" in the National Planning Policy Framework 2018, and to accord with policy CC2 of Spelthorne Borough Council's Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document February 2009.

14. The development hereby approved shall not be first occupied unless and until there has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority a plan indicating the positions, design, materials and type of boundary treatment to be erected. The boundary treatment shall be completed before the buildings are occupied. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details and maintained as approved.

Reason:- To ensure that the proposed development does not prejudice the enjoyment by neighbouring occupiers of their properties and the appearance of the locality, in accordance with policies SP6 and EN1 of the Spelthorne Borough Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document 2009.

15. Facilities within the curtilage of the site for the storage of refuse and recycling materials shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The agreed details shall be implemented prior to the occupation of the development hereby approved and retained thereafter.

Reason:- To ensure that the proposed development does not prejudice the enjoyment by neighbouring occupiers of their properties and the appearance of the locality in accordance with policies SP6 and EN1 of the Spelthorne Borough Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document 2009.

16. The development hereby approved shall not be occupied unless and until at least 2 of the available parking spaces are provided with a fast charge socket (current minimum requirement: 7kw Mode 3 with Type 2 connector - 230 v AC 32 amp single phase dedicated supply) for the charging of electric vehicles in accordance with a scheme to be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: The conditions above are required in order that the development makes suitable provision for sustainable travel, in accordance with the sustainable objectives of Chapter 9 "Promoting sustainable transport" of the National Planning Policy Framework (2018), and policies CC2 and CC3 of Spelthorne Borough Council's Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document February 2009.

17. No demolition shall take place, including any works of demolition or site clearance, until a demolition method statement detailing the proposed

methodology for demolishing the existing structures and the mitigation measures to be implemented has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The DMS shall include submission of a Pre-Demolition Asbestos Survey. The agreed methodology and mitigation measures shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To protect the amenity of the local area.

18. No development shall be first occupied until access arrangements to the site as shown on drawing no. PL07 received 8.5.2019 have been installed, unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. The agreed access arrangements shall be retained thereafter.

Reason: This condition is required in order that the development should not prejudice highway safety, nor cause inconvenience to other highway users, and accord with the National Planning Policy Framework 2018 and policies CC2 and CC3 of Spelthorne Borough Council's Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document February 2009.

Informatives to be attached to the planning permission

1. The developer is reminded that it is an offence to allow materials to be carried from the site and deposited on or damage the highway from uncleaned wheels or badly loaded vehicles. The Highway Authority will seek, wherever possible, to recover any expenses incurred in clearing, cleaning or repairing highway surfaces and prosecutes persistent offenders. (Highways Act 1980 Sections 131, 148, 149).
2. Section 59 of the Highways Act permits the Highway Authority to charge developers for damage caused by excessive weight and movements of vehicles to and from a site. The Highway Authority will pass on the cost of any excess repairs
3. The applicant's attention is drawn to the ACPO/Home Office Secured by Design (SBD) award scheme, details of which can be viewed at www.securedbydesign.com.
4. With regard to surface water drainage it is the responsibility of a developer to make proper provision for drainage to ground, water courses or a suitable sewer. In respect of surface water it is recommended that the applicant should ensure that storm flows are attenuated or regulated into the receiving public network through on or off site storage. When it is proposed to connect to a combined public sewer, the site drainage should be separate and combined at the final manhole nearest the boundary. Connections are not permitted for the removal of groundwater. Where the developer proposes to discharge to a public sewer, prior approval from Thames Water Developer Services will be required. They can be contacted on 0800 009 3921. Reason - to ensure that the surface water discharge from the site shall not be detrimental to the existing sewerage system.

5. A Groundwater Risk Management Permit from Thames Water will be required for discharging groundwater into a public sewer. Any discharge made without a permit is deemed illegal and may result in prosecution under the provisions of the Water Industry Act 1991. We would expect the developer to demonstrate what measures he will undertake to minimise groundwater discharges into the public sewer. Permit enquiries should be directed to Thames Water's Risk Management Team by telephoning 02035779483 or by emailing wwgriskmanagement@thameswater.co.uk. Application forms should be completed on line via www.thameswater.co.uk/wastewaterquality.
6. Please note that this application is subject to the payment of Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). Full details of the charge, how it has been calculated and what happens next are set out in the CIL Liability Notice which will be sent separately.

If you have not already done so an Assumption of Liability notice should be sent to the Council as soon as possible and before the commencement of development.

Further information on CIL and the stages which need to be followed is available on the Council's website. www.spelthorne.go.uk/CIL.

7. You are advised that the Council will expect the following measures to be taken during any building operations to control noise, pollution and parking:
 - (a) Work that is audible beyond the site boundary should only be carried out between 08:00hrs to 18:00hrs Monday to Friday, 08:00hrs to 13:00hrs Saturday and not at all on Sundays or any Public and/or Bank Holidays;
 - (b) The quietest available items of plant and machinery should be used on site. Where permanently sited equipment such as generators are necessary, they should be enclosed to reduce noise levels;
 - (c) Deliveries should only be received within the hours detailed in (a) above;
 - (d) Adequate steps should be taken to prevent dust-causing nuisance beyond the site boundary. Such uses include the use of hoses to damp down stockpiles of materials, which are likely to generate airborne dust, to damp down during stone/slab cutting; and the use of bowsters and wheel washes;
 - (e) There should be no burning on site;
 - (f) Only minimal security lighting should be used outside the hours stated above; and
 - (g) Building materials and machinery should not be stored on the highway and contractors' vehicles should be parked with care so as not to cause an obstruction or block visibility on the highway.

Further details of these noise and pollution measures can be obtained from the Council's Environmental Health Services Unit. In order to meet these requirements and to promote good neighbourliness, the Council recommends that this site is registered with the Considerate Constructors Scheme - www.ccscheme.org.uk/index.php/site-registration of these noise and pollution measures can be obtained from the Council's Environmental Health Services Unit. In order to meet these requirements and to promote good neighbourliness, the Council recommends that this site is registered with the

Considerate Constructors Scheme - www.ccscheme.org.uk/index.php/site-registration Further details of these noise and pollution measures can be obtained from the Council's Environmental Health Services Unit. In order to meet these requirements and to promote good neighbourliness, the Council recommends that this site is registered with the Considerate Constructors Scheme - www.ccscheme.org.uk/index.php/site-registration

8. Please note that this application is subject to the payment of Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). Full details of the charge, how it has been calculated and what happens next are set out in the CIL Liability Notice which will be sent separately.

If you have not already done so an Assumption of Liability notice should be sent to the Council as soon as possible and before the commencement of development.

Further information on CIL and the stages which need to be followed is available on the Council's website. www.spelthorne.go.uk/CIL.

9. The applicant is advised that the essential requirements for an acceptable communication plan forming part of a Method of Construction Statement are viewed as:
 - (a) How those likely to be affected by the site's activities are identified and how they will be informed about the project, site activities and programme;
 - (b) How neighbours will be notified prior to any noisy/disruptive work or of any significant changes to site activity that may affect them;
 - (c) The arrangements that will be in place to ensure a reasonable telephone response during working hours;
 - (d) The name and contact details of the site manager who will be able to deal with complaints; and
 - (e) How those who are interested in or affected will be routinely advised regarding the progress of the work. Registration and operation of the site to the standards set by the Considerate Constructors Scheme (<http://www.ccscheme.org.uk/>) would help fulfil these requirements.
10. The applicant is advised that all gas fired boilers should meet a minimum standard of less than 40mgNOx/kWh. All gas-fired CHP plant should meet a minimum emissions standard of 50mgNOx/Nm³ for gas turbines - note other limited apply for spark or compression ignition engines. Where biomass is proposed within an urban area it is to meet minimum emissions standards of: Solid biomass boiler 275 mgNOx/Nm³ and 25 mgPM/Nm³
11. Attention is drawn to the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 as amended, the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 and the Conservation (Natural Habitats) Regulations 1994. These Acts and Regulations protect ALL wild birds, their nests (whether in use or being built) and eggs and other wild animals including bats and their roosts in or adjacent to trees. It is a criminal offence to recklessly or intentionally destroy any bird, its nest or its eggs or any bat or its roost (even if the roost is not occupied at the time). With particular reference to bats, it is the site owner's responsibility, along with any contractor who will undertake work, to observe the law and make sure that no

offence is committed. If you consider that there is potential for bats to be present, we recommend that a bat survey is undertaken by a consultant. If bats or bat roosts are found to be present, a licence from the Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs may be required for the work to be undertaken legally. This licence simply permits works which affect bats or their roosts which are otherwise unlawful. Natural England can provide details of suitable consultants to undertake bat surveys on 02380286410.

If bats or evidence of bats are found at anytime, all works must stop immediately; contact Natural England on 02380286410 or the bat conservation trust helpline on 0845 1300 228 for further advice.

12. The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015


Working in a positive/proactive manner

In assessing this application, officers have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner consistent with the requirements of the NPPF 2019.


13. Access by the Fire Brigade


Notice of the provisions of Section 20 of the Surrey County Council Act 1985 is hereby endorsed on this planning permission. Copies of the Section may be obtained from the Council Offices or from County Hall. Section 20 of this Act requires that when a building is erected or extended, proper provision must be made for the Fire Brigade to have means of access to the building or to any neighbouring buildings. There are also requirements relating to access and facilities for the fire service contained in Part B of the Building Regulations 2000 (as amended).

This page is intentionally left blank




**SUBJECT TO PLANNING APPROVAL
PLANNING ISSUE**







COURTYARD ELEVATION OF NEW BUILDING AS PROPOSED



COURTYARD ELEVATION OF ORIGINAL BUILDING AS PROPOSED

proposed double yellow lines to front of property extending up
to the junction with Staines Road East

TPO CEDAR

TPO SYCAMORE

STAINES ROAD EAST

1-12 PRIORY WALK

3845

8565

23890

9200

PRIORY CLOSE (private road)

Note 1: Subject to Neighbourhood Contact
Automatic gate set back from junction. Located to ensure cars can pull up to Priory Close without blocking the
highway whilst gate opens. Bollards could be installed to corner to further prevent car parking along pavement close
to junction (subject to further discussion with neighbours of Priory Close)

bollards installed to further prevent parking on the corner junction (see Note 1)

proposed double yellow lines to front of property extending up
to the junction with Staines Road East

No.67

drawing notes: This Drawing must not be scaled. Only figured dimensions should be used. Critical site dimensions must be checked on site
before proceeding. Any adjoining buildings or features are by way of illustration only and dimensions have not been checked unless specifically noted
otherwise. This drawing has been produced for our client for the purpose noted on it and it is not intended for use by any other person for any other
purpose. The copyright in this drawing belongs to ZGRP LTD ©
This drawing must not be reproduced in whole or in part or disclosed to any third party unless expressly authorised in writing by a Director of ZGRP LTD.

project:

Former Sunbury Police Station, 69-71
Staines Road East, Sunbury, TW16 5AA

client:

Lacaze Projects

Revision notes:

drawing title:

Block Plan Site Layout

date

April 2019

scale

1:200@A3

project no

2409


drawing no

PL07

revision

-

This page is intentionally left blank



19/00325/HOU - 2 Bush Road, Shepperton. TW17 0HX

Scale: 1:500

© Crown copyright and database rights 2018 Ordnance Survey 100024284.



This page is intentionally left blank

Planning Committee

29 May 2019



Application No.	19/00325/HOU		
Site Address	2 Bush Road Shepperton TW17 0HX		
Applicant	Mr Dan Pitts		
Proposal	Erection of a single storey front extension and two storey side and rear extension with incorporation of a garage.		
Case Officer	Vanya Popova		
Ward	Laleham and Shepperton Green		
Called-in	<p>The application has been called in by Cllr Attewell on grounds of:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the issues of overbearing and overdevelopment are considered to be detrimental to the street scene that the proposed development is overbearing and an overdevelopment likely to have harmful impact on the neighbours/properties as outlined in EN1, sub paragraph b of the Boroughs Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan. 		
Application Dates	Valid: 07.03.2019	Expiry: 02.05.2019	Target: Extension of time agreed.
Executive Summary	<p>The application site is located within a residential area with a predominance of two storey semi-detached properties designed with varying detailing and materials. A number of dwellings contain two storey and single storey extensions along Bush Road and the adjacent roads.</p> <p>This revised scheme, is submitted following planning application 18/01612/HOU which was refused planning permission in January this year for two reasons. These related to the adverse impact on the street scene and surrounding area and adverse impact on neighbouring properties in terms of overbearing impact, loss of light and privacy.</p> <p>The revised design of the current application would have an acceptable impact upon the character of the area and when viewed from the street scene and satisfactorily addresses the first reason for refusal.</p> <p>It is also considered that the revised application overcomes previous concerns relating to the impact on surrounding dwellings. The current proposal has removed the clear glazed first floor bedroom window on the northern flank elevation which previously looked directly into the neighbouring property's rear garden. The revised two storey rear development is now set away from the adjoining dwelling no.4 Bush Road and would respect the Council's 45° vertical and horizontal guide measured from the nearest neighbouring ground floor habitable room (from the bi-folded doors). In addition, the proposed first floor clear glazed</p>		

	<p>window which looked directly into no.4 Briar Road has now been replaced with a high level window. It is considered that the revised proposal would have an acceptable impact upon the residential amenity of adjoining properties in terms of privacy, daylight or sunlight, and outlook.</p> <p>It is considered that the proposed scheme would have an acceptable impact upon the parking provision.</p>
Recommended Decision	This planning application is recommended for approval subject to conditions set out at Paragraph 8 of the Report.

MAIN REPORT

1. Development Plan

- 1.1 The following policies in the Council's Core Strategy and Policies DPD 2009 are considered relevant to this proposal:
- EN1 (Design of New Development)
 - CC3 (Parking Provision)
- 1.2 Also relevant is the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2019 and the Council's Supplementary Planning Document on the Design of Residential Extensions and New Residential Development, 2011.

2. Relevant Planning History

- 2.1 The site has the following planning history:

18/01612/HOU	Erection of a single storey front extension and two storey side and rear extension with incorporation of a garage.	Refused 23.01.2019
--------------	--	-----------------------

3. Description of Current Proposal

- 3.1 The application site relates to a two storey semi-detached dwelling situated on the western side of Bush Road in Shepperton. The site contains a front curtilage which has been laid out with hardstanding in order to facilitate off-street parking. The northern flank elevation of the property is in a prominent location as it backs onto the rear gardens of the adjacent properties, no 2 and 4 Briar Road. It is relevant to note that an outbuilding has been erected in the rear of garden, which is not part of the current proposal. It has a maximum height of 2.5 metres and as such is permitted development and does not require planning permission.
- 3.2 The street scene surrounding the site is residential in character containing two storey and chalet-style semi-detached properties some of which have been previously extended, including two storey and single storey

developments. The area is relatively specious in terms of plot layout and there is not a particular building pattern.

- 3.3 A recent planning application on this site (ref. 18/01612/HOU) for the erection of a two storey side and rear extension and the erection of single storey front extension, was refused permission earlier this year due to concerns over the design and impact on the character of the area as well as the adverse impact on residential amenity. This refused scheme proposed a two storey rear development which extended the full width of the plot, measuring 3.5 metres in depth, with a similar height to the original house. The first floor side element was set in 0.3 metres from the northern boundary with the first floor over-hanging the ground floor and was set back 0.5 metres from the front elevation. The scheme was refused on the following grounds:

- The two storey side element, by reason of its position and proposed design would have a detrimental impact on the visual amenities and would not respect the design of the original building.
- The proposed two storey rear element was would be unduly dominant and over-bearing, and would have an unacceptable impact on the amenity on the adjoining paired property (no. 4 Bush Road) as the Council's 45 degree vertical and horizontal guidance would be breached. In addition, it was considered that the proposed first floor bedroom window on the northern flank elevation would cause over-looking and loss of privacy issues to the neighbouring properties.

- 3.4 The revised scheme comprises the erection of a single storey front extension and two storey side/rear extension with a single storey rear element. The proposal will also incorporate a garage.

- 3.5 The proposed plans show that the amended two storey side development has been reduced in width to 2.95 metres instead of 3.69 metres which was previously proposed and would be set in 1 metre from the northern boundary compared with 0.3 metres. The revised two storey rear element of the scheme would extend 2.5 metres from the original rear wall of the house and would be set in by 1.72 metres from the boundary with no 4 Bush Road. The overall height of the proposed gable end feature has been reduced from 8 metres to 7.2 metres. The two storey extensions would have the same eaves height as the existing house and would incorporate a dual pitched roof instead of previously proposed mansard style roof with large area of flat roof.

- 3.6 Copies of the site location plan, existing site layout, floor and elevation plans are provided as Appendix 1.

4. Consultations

- 4.1 The following table shows those bodies consulted and their response.

Consultee	Comment
County Highway Authority	No objections. There are no highway requirements.

Environmental Health	No objection. Recommends informatives are attached to the decision notice.
-----------------------------	--

5. Public Consultation

5.1 A total of six letters of notification were sent out to neighbouring and adjoining properties. The Council has received three letters of objection regarding the proposal raising the following comments/concerns:

- The latest drawings do show some improvement compared with the refused scheme
- The proposed materials would not pay respect to the surrounding buildings and the existing property itself and would have an adverse impact on the visual amenities
- Soil was removed around the boundary fence (*Note:- this is not a planning consideration*)
- The proposal would not make a positive contribution to the street scene and would cause an unbalanced impact upon the character of the area
- The outbuilding should be removed (*Officer's note:- the recently built outbuilding at the rear of garden does not require planning permission*)
- The use of the outbuilding should be monitored by the Council
- The two storey rear development would cause a significant adverse impact upon no 4 Bush Road
- Over-development
- Extensions are not subordinate
- Over-bearing
- Loss of privacy
- Loss of light
- Outlook when viewed from the neighbouring properties and street scene
- Officer's report on previous proposal was incorrect in terms of the single storey front extension. (Officer note: The report was factually correct. Also the current proposal extends 0.25m further forward than the refused scheme.
- The site is situated on a prominent location and as such the northern flank elevation is particularly visible and would have further impact upon the visual amenities
- Out of proportion with the host dwelling

6. Planning Issues

- Design and appearance
- Impact on neighbouring properties
- Parking provision

7. Planning Considerations

Design and appearance

- 7.1 Policy EN1 of the Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document, 2009 requires a high standard in the design and layout of new development. It states under a) that proposals for new development should demonstrate that they will create buildings and places that are attractive with their own distinct identity. Additionally, they should respect and make a positive contribution to the street scene and the character of the area in which they are situated, paying due regard to the scale, height, proportions, building lines, layout, materials and other characteristics of adjoining buildings and land. The Council's Supplementary Planning Document on the Design of Residential Extensions and New Residential Development, 2011 (Design SPD), states that SPD, front and side proposals in particular should respect the character of the host building and moreover the extensions should be in proportion with the host building without exceeding two thirds of the width of the host building. In addition, the quality of the design of rear extensions is also important as they are visible from neighbouring properties. The detailed design and use of materials play a crucial role for schemes to be acceptable.
- 7.2 Bush Road contains semi-detached properties with varying styles and detailing including the use of materials. The chalet style buildings contain flat roofed dormers located in the middle of the front elevation and some are particularly visible from adjoining roads. The two storey buildings have a character with gable end elements and cross gabled pitched roofs extending to the side. As previously mentioned, some of the neighbouring two storey buildings have been extended with single storey and two storey additions or part single part two storey developments within Bush Road and the adjacent roads. As such, the principle of single storey and two storey developments is not out of character within the locality.
- 7.3 The revised two-storey side element would be set in 1 metre from the northern boundary. There are no adjacent properties on the same building line to the north, the extension would be adjacent to the rear gardens of 2 and 4 Briar Road and it is considered that the proposed side extension would not adversely impact on the visual gap or create a terracing effect. The proposed first floor side element would set back 0.65 metres from the front elevation and this is considered acceptable. The proposed extension would be set down from the ridge of the original house and would have a hipped design, incorporating a dual pitched roof across the side elevation instead of the previously proposed large area of flat roof. The scale of the revised development has been reduced and the proposed extension would set down from the ridge of the house. The revised plans show that the proposed materials would match the existing building.
- 7.4 Whilst it is noted that the proposed single storey front extension would extend 1.25 metres beyond the front elevation of the house instead of 1m which was previously proposed, it is considered that the impact would be acceptable and would not detract from the street scene.

- 7.5 It is considered that the proposed design and appearance would be in keeping with the character of the surrounding area and complies with the requirements of Policy EN1 (a) and the Design SPD.

Impact on neighbouring properties

- 7.6 Policy EN1 (b) of the Core Strategy and Policies (CS & P DPD) states that proposals should demonstrate that they will achieve a satisfactory relationship to adjoining properties avoiding significant harmful impact in terms of loss of privacy, daylight or sunlight, or overbearing effect due to bulk and proximity or outlook. It is relevant to note that the Council's Design SPD recognises that most developments will have some impact on neighbours. However, it has to be demonstrated that the amenity of adjoining neighbours is not significantly harmed. The Design SPD refers to the 45° horizontal or vertical guides measured from the ground floor windows of the adjoining dwelling/s. The purpose of this guide is to ensure that the proposal would not cause loss of light to windows of habitable rooms of adjoining properties.
- 7.7 The revised scheme has removed the clear glazed first floor bedroom window on the northern flank elevation which previously looked directly into the neighbouring property's rear garden. The proposed elevation plan shows two high level windows in this elevation which would be set above 1.745 metres internal floor level. This is acceptable and overcomes the previous objection relating to loss of privacy. In addition, a condition has been recommended to ensure no further first floor opening on the northern or southern flank elevations of the extension(s) can be created with the permission of the Local Planning Authority.
- 7.8 The Design SPD sets out minimum distances between properties to preserve privacy and outlook. The back to back distance from the rear element of the proposal with properties no 45 and 47 Rosewood Drive is approximately 33 metres, which meets the Council's minimum required back to back distance of 21 metres. The existing house has windows to a bedroom and bathroom in the rear elevation and this situation would not change. The side to back distance from the proposed two storey side development to the rear elevations of nos 2 and 4 Briar Road's would be 17 metres which exceeds the Council's minimum side to back separation distance of 13.5 metres.
- 7.9 The revised first floor element of the two storey rear development would be set in approximately 1.72 metres from the boundary with no 4 Bush Road and the depth has been reduced from 3.5 metres to 2.5 metres measured from the original rear wall of the house. In addition, the ridge height of the proposed two storey extension is less than its existing house. The revised proposals now comply with the Council's 45 vertical and horizontal guide measured from the nearest neighbouring ground floor habitable room (from the bi-folded doors). In addition, the proposed single storey rear element would incorporate a mono-pitched roof which would slope down nearest to the boundary with no 4 Bush Road and would measure approximately 2.5 metres to the eaves. It is also relevant to note that proposed plans show that the single storey rear element would set in from the boundary and as such would prevent any over-hanging gutters.

- 7.10 Therefore, the revised proposal is considered to have an acceptable impact upon amenity of neighbouring and adjoining properties.

Parking provision

- 7.11 It is considered that the proposed scheme would have an acceptable impact on the parking provision. The site has recently extended its existing driveway to the front in order to accommodate additional off-street parking. In addition, the scheme proposes the incorporation of a garage. Surrey County Council, in its role as highway authority, has no objection to the proposals.

Other matters

- 7.12 The letters of objection raised concerns regarding the recently constructed single storey detached outbuilding at very rear of the site's curtilage. However, this is permitted development and planning permission is not required for the structure.

8. Recommendation

- 8.1 GRANT subject to the following conditions: -

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason:- This condition is required by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans DP3123/1, DP3123/1b, DP3123/2, DP3123/3, 3123/4, DP3123/5 and DP3123/6 Received on 18.04.2019.

Reason:-.For the avoidance of doubt and in the interest of proper planning.

3. The development hereby permitted must be carried out in facing materials to match those of the existing building including the paired building in colour and texture as shown drawings no DP3123/6 and DP3123/4 Received on 18.04.2019.

Reason:-.To ensure a satisfactory external appearance in accordance with policies SP6 and EN1 of the Spelthorne Borough Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document 2009

4. That no further openings of any kind shall be formed on the northern and southern flank elevation(s) of the development hereby permitted without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:-.To safeguard the privacy of the adjoining property in the accordance with policies SP6 and EN1 of the Spelthorne Borough Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document 2009.

INFORMATIVES TO APPLICANT


1. The applicant's attention is drawn to the requirements of the Party Wall etc. Act 1996 in relation to work close to a neighbour's building/boundary.
2. This development is situated within 250 metres of a current or historic landfill site or gravel pit. A gas impermeable membrane should be incorporated within

the structure along with a ventilated sub floor area. Any services entering/leaving the structure should be located above the gas impermeable membrane or alternatively, adequate seals will need to be provided if the membrane is to be breached. The details of the gas impermeable membrane and with particular attention to the joins with any existing structure and seals around any services, plus details of the sub-floor ventilation should be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval prior to the works being carried out.

The applicant is advised to contact Spelthorne's Pollution Control team on 01784 446251 for further advice and information before any work commences.

3. The applicant is advised that the site to which this planning permission relates is located on or near land that may contain harmful substances. Under Part C of the Building Regulations you will be required to consider this when designing the foundations of the development.



The applicant is advised to contact Spelthorne's Pollution Control team on 01784 446251 for further advice and information before any work commences.





Scale 1:50 @ A2





A2



Design by: Peter Hunt, B.Sc.(Hons), Dip. Arch. - Profile Architecture 16 Tynley Grove Guildford, GU4 7PE 01483 566656 07570 789821	Client: Dan Pitts Site: 2 Bush Road Shepperton The erection of two story side and rear extension.	B	31/03/2019	Proposed materials to match neighbouring property
		A	20/03/2019	Position of garden room revised
			07/03/2019	Drawings released for Planning Permission
		Ground Floor Plans		
		DRG No: DP3123/ 1		



Scale 1:100 @ A2




A2

Design by: Peter Hunt, B.Sc.(Hons), Dip. Arch.
- Profile Architecture
16 Tynley Grove
Guildford, GU4 7PE
01483 566656
07570 789821

Client: Dan Pitts
Site: 2 Bush Road
Shepperton

The erection of two story side and rear extension.

REV		
B	31/03/2019	Proposed materials to match neighbouring property
A	20/03/2019	Position of garden room revised
	07/03/2019	Drawings released for Planning Permission
Ground Floor Plans 1:100 scale		
DRG No: DP3123/ 1b		




FIRST FLOOR Extg

Scale 1:50 @ A2



A2



FIRST FLOOR Prop

Design by: Peter Hunt, B.Sc.(Hons), Dip. Arch.
- Profile Architecture
16 Tynley Grove
Guildford, GU4 7PE
01483 566656
07570 789821

Client: Dan Pitts
Site: 2 Bush Road
Shepperton



The erection of two story side and rear extension.

REV

B	31/03/2019	Proposed materials to match neighbouring property
A	20/03/2019	Position of garden room revised
	07/03/2019	Drawings released for Planning Permission

First Floor Plans

DRG No: DP3123/ 2




Scale 1:50 @ A2




A2

Design by: Peter Hunt, B.Sc.(Hons), Dip. Arch. - Profile Architecture 16 Tynley Grove Guildford, GU4 7PE 01483 566656 07570 789821	Client: Dan Pitts Site: 2 Bush Road Shepperton The erection of two story side and rear extension.	B	31/03/2019	Proposed materials to match neighbouring property
		A	20/03/2019	Position of garden room revised
			07/03/2019	Drawings released for Planning Permission
		Roof Plans		
		DRG No: DP3123/ 3		




EXISTING ELEVATIONS




PROPOSED ELEVATIONS

Scale 1:100 @ A2




A2

		REV		
Design by: Peter Hunt, B.Sc.(Hons), Dip. Arch. - <i>Profile Architecture</i> 16 Tynley Grove Guildford, GU4 7PE 01483 566656 07570 789821	Client: Dan Pitts Site: 2 Bush Road Shepperton The erection of two story side and rear extension.	B	31/03/2019	Proposed materials to match neighbouring property
		A	20/03/2019	Position of garden room revised
			07/03/2019	Drawings released for Planning Permission
		Elevations		
		DRG No: DP3123/ 4		



Block (Site) Plan - Scale 1:200 @ A2




A2



Crown Copyright and database rights 2018. OS 100031961 National Map Centre. Purchased 19/11/2018 1 year licence.

Location Plan -
Scale 1:1250 @ A2



Design by: Peter Hunt, B.Sc.(Hons), Dip. Arch.
- Profile Architecture
16 Tynley Grove
Guildford, GU4 7PE
01483 566656
07570 789821

Client: Dan Pitts
Site: 2 Bush Road
Shepperton

The erection of two story side and rear extension.

REV		
B	31/03/2019	Proposed materials to match neighbouring property
A	20/03/2019	Position of garden room revised
	07/03/2019	Drawings released for Planning Permission
Ordinance Survey Maps		
DRG No: DP3123/ 5		




FRONT right view existing



FRONT right view proposed



FRONT left view proposed



REAR view proposed

REV		
B	31/03/2019	Proposed materials to match neighbouring property
A	20/03/2019	Position of garden room revised
	07/03/2019	Drawings released for Planning Permission
Views		
DRG No: DP3123/ 6		

Design by: Peter Hunt, B.Sc.(Hons), Dip. Arch. - Profile Architecture 16 Tynley Grove Guildford, GU4 7PE 01483 566656 07570 789821	Client: Dan Pitts
	Site: 2 Bush Road Shepperton
The erection of two story side and rear extension.	

A2

This page is intentionally left blank



19/00444/ADV - Charlton Lane Ecopark, Charlton Lane, Shepperton. TW17 8QA

Scale: 1:5,000

© Crown copyright and database rights 2018 Ordnance Survey 100024284.



This page is intentionally left blank

Planning Committee

29 May 2019



Application No.	19/00444/ADV		
Site Address	Charlton Lane Eco park, Charlton Lane, Shepperton, TW17 8QA		
Proposal	Retention of freestanding 6.52m tall non-illuminated sign at entrance (retrospective)		
Applicant	SUEZ Recycling and Recovery Ltd.		
Ward	Halliford and Sunbury West		
Call in details	The application has been called in by Cllr Beardsmore because of concerns about the visual amenity		
Case Officer	Kelly Walker		
Application Dates	Valid: 28/08/2019	Expiry: 23/05/2019	Target: over 8 weeks Extension of Time Agreed.
Executive Summary	The proposal is for the retention of the display of a large free standing sign at the entrance to the Eco Park site on Charlton Lane. It is considered to have an acceptable impact on the visual amenity of the area and on highway safety and is recommended for approval subject to conditions.		
Recommended Decision	This application is recommended for approval.		

MAIN REPORT

1. Development Plan

- 1.1 There are no policies in the Council's Core Strategy and Policies DPD 2009 which refer to advertisements. However the advice contained within the paragraph 132 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2019 is relevant as it specifically refers to advertisements..

2. Relevant Planning History

10/00947/SCC Re-Consultation: Development of a Waste Management Eco Park, comprising: a Gasification Facility; Anaerobic Digestion Facility; Community Recycling Facility, Recyclables Bulking Facility; Education / Visitor Centre and Offices; Other

SBC Object
SCC Approval
04.07.2011

3. Description of Current Proposal

- 3.1 The site is at the Charlton Lane Waste Management Facility located along Charlton Lane in Shepperton. The site is approximately 5.22 hectares and lies within the Green Belt. Charlton Village is 400m to the north west and Upper Halliford is 500m to the southeast. The site is bounded by the M3 to the northwest and Charlton Lane to the south. There is a golf course on the other side of the road. Waste transfer had been carried out at the site since the 1940s and the site has a long planning history. More recently planning permission was granted by Surrey County Council for the development of a Waste Management Eco Park. This has been substantially built, however works are still continuing on site. It includes a Gasification Facility, Anaerobic Digestion Facility, Recyclables Bulking Facility, Education/Visitors centre and offices.
- 3.2 The proposal is for the retention of a non-illuminated freestanding sign measuring some 6.52m in maximum height. It consists of 2 upstands on an L shaped base of 1.5m in width and depth. One upstand displays the name of the site 'Eco Park' and the other reads 'Welcome to the Eco Park'. The maximum height of the lettering is 0.89m. It is made of coated metal which is coloured green. It is located on the traffic island at the entrance to the site adjoining Charlton Lane.
- 3.3 The proposed site layout and elevation plans are provided as an Appendix.

4 Consultations

- 4.1 The following table shows those bodies consulted and their response.

Consultee	Comment
County Highway Authority	No objection, subject to conditions

5. Public Consultation

- 5.1 A total of 27 neighbouring properties were notified of the planning application. Letters have been received from 13 properties objecting to the proposal. .
- 5.2 Reasons for objecting include:-
- Out of keeping with residential and village nature of the area
 - Too large
 - Bright green colour does not blend into the location
 - More fitting for an urban/industrial setting
 - Eyesore and distract/confuse drivers
 - Large, ugly and unnecessary/inappropriate sign
 - Obtrusive, dominant, overbearing

- There are already lots of signs
- There is a scout hut next door and the safety of the signage is questioned
- Probably the biggest road side advert in Spelthorne
- Sets a dangerous precedent
- Not easy to understand what the sign says
- No need for it to be illuminated
- Site area was changed to include highway land

The following comments are not planning issues or do not relate to the proposal:

- Did not get permission in advance
- It is not 'Eco' or a 'Park'
- Site use should not have been approved
- Sign demonstrates how this taxpayer funded project has been handled

6. Planning Issues

- Visual amenity
- Highway safety

7. Planning Considerations

7.1 Impact of the signage

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) para 132 states that, *'The quality and character of places can suffer when advertisements are poorly sited and designed. A separate consent process within the planning system, controls the display of advertisements, which should be operated in a way which is simple, efficient and effective. Advertisements should be subject to control only in the interests of amenity and public safety, taking account of cumulative impacts.'* As such, the impact of the proposed signage on highway safety and amenity issues are the only issues which can be taken into account in the consideration of this application.

7.2 Visual amenity

The proposed sign is not seen in the context of a residential street scene when travelling along Charlton Lane, with the closest houses being located to the south east, over the railway bridge. On the opposite side of the road is a golf club, with a garden centre and farm located to the north west over the M3 motorway bridge. As such, the road at this point is not within a residential area. The application site has long been established as a waste transfer station and the name and use of the site cannot be taken into account when determining this advertisement application. As such the sign is not considered, in principle, to be out of place or out of keeping with the locality.

7.3 It is acknowledged that the sign is large, in overall height in particular at 6.52m tall, which along with its green colour makes the sign clearly visible. The design of the sign is unusual and imaginative. The signage relates to the use and name of the site, welcoming people to it and is seen in the context of the site which itself is very large in width and depth. The sign is also located in

front of a large gasification facility building fronting Charlton Road. Therefore this large building is the backdrop to the sign and the sign has a design connection with the site and its buildings, resulting in it not appearing overly large or out of place. Most people passing the sign will be in vehicles, rather than walking and will either be travelling past the Eco Park site or entering it.. The proposed sign is not considered to be out of scale or out of keeping with the locality and would not be over dominant, or appear visually obtrusive. As such it is not considered, in this instance, to be a poorly placed advertisement and it will not have a negative impact on the appearance of the built environment in which is it located.. Therefore the sign is considered acceptable in terms of visual amenity in accordance with the NPPF. Whilst the site is located within the Green Belt, in view of the context of the existing Eco Park, it is not considered the advertisement would harm the visual amenities of the Green Belt.

7.4 Highway safety

The Highway Authority have raised no objection to the sign in terms of highway safety. Conditions have been recommended to be attached to the consent to ensure the sign is not illuminated and also that it is maintained. The maintenance condition is required under the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007. As such the signage is considered to be acceptable from a highway safety point of view in accordance with the NPPF.

7.5 Other matters.

The Council does not have any planning control over the name of the site. As such, no objection can be made to the name of the site displayed on the sign. In addition, although the sign is already in existence this is because the applicant had included the details of the sign in the planning application for the Eco Park submitted to Surrey County Council in 2010 and therefore thought that permission had been granted. However adverts require their own application which are dealt with by Spelthorne Borough Council, hence the application now having been submitted. Notwithstanding this, the fact that the proposal is retrospective is not a reason to object to the signage. In addition, the use of the site has no relevance to whether the sign is acceptable on amenity and highway safety grounds.

7.6 Conclusion

Therefore, the proposed advertisement is considered to be acceptable in terms of amenity and highway safety grounds and the application is recommended for approval.

8. Recommendation

8.1 GRANT subject to the following conditions:

1. That the approved signage shall not be illuminated

Reason: - In the interest of visual amenity.

2. The sign for the display of advertisements, shall be maintained in a clean and tidy condition to the reasonable satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.
3. Any structure or hoarding erected or used principally for the purpose of displaying advertisements shall be maintained in a safe condition.
4. Where an advertisement is required under the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations to be removed. the removal shall be carried out to the reasonable satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.
5. No advertisement is to be displayed without the permission of the owner of the site or any other person with an interest in the site entitled to grant permission.-
6. No advertisement shall be sited or displayed so as to obscure or hinder the ready interpretation of any road traffic sign, railway signal or aid to navigation by water or air so as otherwise to render hazardous the use of any highway, railway, waterway or aerodrome (civil or military).



The reason for the decision of the Council to consent to the display of the advertisements subject to compliance with the conditions 2-6 specified in the second schedule 13 to comply with the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 2007.

1. Decision Making: Working in a Positive and Proactive Manner

In assessing this application, officers have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner consistent with the NPPF. This included the following:-

- a) Provided pre-application advice to seek to resolve problems before the application was submitted and to foster the delivery of sustainable development.
- b) Provided feedback through the validation process including information on the website, to correct identified problems to ensure that the application was correct and could be registered;
- c) Have suggested/accepted/negotiated amendments to the scheme to resolve identified problems with the proposal and to seek to foster sustainable development.
- d) Have proactively communicated with the applicant through the process to advise progress, timescales or recommendation.

This page is intentionally left blank



This page is intentionally left blank